

The basic features of a law that authorizes the local regulation of bicycles are:

- 1. A grant of power to local communities ("provisions of this code shall not be deemed to prevent local jurisdictions ... within the reasonable exercise of the police power from: ...", and
- 2. A list of powers allowed under the grant such as the power to create parking regulations, regulate the operation of bicycles, require registration, require inspection, or establish fees for registration.

In many cases, the local regulation of bicycles negatively impacts bicyclists by adding restrictions or fees not present in state laws, which may not be well publicized so that bicyclists are aware of local laws. Learn more at bikeleague.org/bike-law-university.

| | | | Regulations Enabled | | |
|---------------|---|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| State | Statute | Must be consistent with State Law | Regulation of Operation | Registration & Licensing | Fee(s) |
| Alabama | None found | | | | |
| Alaska | None found | | | | |
| Arizona | §28-627 | X (28-626) | Х | X | Х |
| Arkansas | None found | | | | |
| California | VC §21206 | Х | Х | Х | |
| Colorado | §42-4-111 | Х | Х | X | Х |
| Connecticut | §14-289 | Х | Х | X | Х |
| Delaware | None found | | | | |
| Florida | §316.008 | X (316.007) | Х | | |
| Georgia | §40-6-371 | X (40-6-370) | | Х | Х |
| Hawaii | §291C-163 | X (291C-162) | Х | Х | Х |
| Idaho | None found | | | | |
| Illinois | 625 III. Comp. Stat. §11-208 | X (5/11-207) | Х | X | Х |
| Indiana | §9-21-1-3 | X (9-21-1-2) | Х | Х | Х |
| lowa | §321.236 | Х | Х | Х | Х |
| Kansas | §8-2002 | X (8-2001) | Х | Х | Х |
| Kentucky | Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. §189.287 | | Х | | |
| Louisiana | §32:41 | | | Х | Х |
| Maine | tit. 29-A §2063 | | Х | | |
| Maryland | §25-102 | X (25-101) | Х | Х | Х |
| Massachusetts | Mass. Gen. Laws. Ch. 40, §22; Mass. Gen. Laws. Ch. 85, §11B | | X (sidewalks only) | х | Х |

LOCAL REGULATION LAWS



| | | | Regulations Enabled | | |
|----------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| State | Statute | Must be consistent with State Law | Regulation of Operation | Registration & Licensing | Fee(s) |
| Michigan | §257.606 | X (257.605) | Х | Х | Х |
| Minnesota | §169.022 | х | X (if additional and not conflict) | | |
| Mississippi | §63-3-209; 63-3-211 | x | X (if additional and not conflict) | | |
| Missouri | None found | | | | |
| Montana | §61-12-101 | X (7-1-113) | Х | Х | Х |
| Nebraska | §60-6,317(4) | X (only further regs are ok) | Х | х | |
| Nevada | §484A.400 | x | X (if additional and not conflict) | Х | |
| New Hampshire | §265:149 | X (must be at least as stringent, can be more) | Х | х | Х |
| New Jersey | None found | | | | |
| New Mexico | §66-7-9 | X (66-7-8) | Х | Х | Х |
| New York | VAT 1640; 1650; 1660 | | | | |
| North Carolina | None found | | | | |
| North Dakota | None found | | | | |
| Ohio | §§4511.07; 4511.711 | Х | Х | | |
| Oklahoma | tit. 47 §§15-101; 15- 102 | X (15-101) | Х | х | Х |
| Oregon | None found | | | | |
| Pennsylvania | 75 Pa. Cons. Stat. §6109 | | Х | х | Х |
| Rhode Island | §31-12-12 | | Х | Х | Х |
| South Carolina | §56-5-710 | X (56-5-30) | Х | Х | Х |
| South Dakota | None found | | | | |
| Tennessee | None found | | | | |
| Texas | Tex. Transp. Code Ann. §§542.202; 217.003 | X (542.201) | Х | Х | Х |

LOCAL REGULATION LAWS



| | | | Regulations Enabled | | |
|---------------|--|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| State | Statute | Must be consistent with State Law | Regulation of Operation | Registration & Licensing | Fee(s) |
| Utah | Utah Code Ann. §§41-6a-208; 10-8- 69 | X (41-6a-207) | Х | x | х |
| Vermont | City-specific laws. E.g tit. 24 §13-103. 24 VSA § 2291 | | Х | | |
| Virginia | §15.2-1720 | | | Х | Х |
| Washington | §§35.75.010; 35.75.030; 35.75.040 | | Х | x | х |
| West Virginia | 17C-2-8 | X (17C-2-7) | Х | X | Х |
| Wisconsin | None found | | | | |
| Wyoming | §31-5-109 | X (31-5-108) | Х | X | Х |

WHY WORRY ABOUT LOCAL REGULATIONS?

At their most basic level, local regulations tend to undermine expectations among people sharing the road by creating rules that are not uniform throughout a state and rules that may not be widely known or publicized.

In addition, the local regulation of bicycles often appears to be based on the idea that bicycles are not legitimate vehicles and so may be regulated in ways that other vehicles are not. This idea can be seen in the laws of Texas and Utah quoted below:

- Texas §217.003(c) provides that "the governing body may restrain or prohibit the firing of firecrackers or guns, the use of a bicycle or similar conveyance, the use of a firework or similar material, or any amusement or practice tending to annoy persons passing on a street or sidewalk."
- >>> Utah Code §10-8-69 provides that "the governing body of a city or town may prohibit or regulate conduct on a highway or sidewalk if the conduct interferes with or impedes traffic, including: ...(d) riding a bicycle or tricycle; or (e) any other conduct or activity that interferes with traffic" unless the prohibition or regulation is inconsistent or conflicts with the Utah Traffic Code.

Both of these laws reflect the idea that bicycles are not vehicles, are not a part of normal traffic, but instead are some sort of annoyance or inconvenience to traffic. Both states also have other laws that allow additional local restrictions on bicycles, including the possibility of requiring registration and/or licensing. Together these laws may be used to discriminate against people choosing bicycles rather than other vehicles and may undermine the ability of bicyclists to use roadways in certain localities within the state.